ISSN: 2632-4091

LILY ROSS TAYLOR ON "THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE COINAGE TO THE STUDY OF CAESAR'S DICTATORSHIP AND DEIFICATION" 1

- JANE M. CODY -

ABSTRACT

At the heart of this essay is the transcription of a collection of Lily Ross Taylor's unpublished notes. These were first presented at a colloquium held at Bryn Mawr College in November 2019 as a memorial to the fiftieth anniversary of her death. As presented here, they provide her view of the coins as evidence for Caesar's aims between 49 and 44 BCE and for his later deification. They also clearly articulate her methodology for using numismatic sources in historical research. A short introduction attempts to put these notes in their scholarly context and points toward Miss Taylor's planned work, much of it uncompleted at the time of her death.

KEYWORDS

Lily Ross Taylor, Julius Caesar, Roman Republican coins, deification of the Roman emperor

"The pencil of Miss Taylor is always one to be watched." 2

Introduction

ily Ross Taylor's interest in combining the evidence of Roman coins with the historian's other tools for reconstructing Roman Republican history and politics goes back at least as far as her first book, *The Divinity of the Roman Emperor* (1931).³ Here copious illustrations of Roman coins dot the pages. In the years that followed, and especially after the 1946 publication of Michael Grant's *From Imperium*

¹ This is the title that Lily Ross Taylor gives to her lectures on this subject in her archive housed in the Special Collections Department of the Canaday Library at Bryn Mawr College. I have added a few scattered working notes of my own toward a future monograph on this subject, but in general have tried to leave her thoughts in their original context.

² Potter (2001) 320.

³ Even earlier in Taylor (1918). Many thanks to Corey Brennan for pointing this out to me. Her consideration of the priestly types of the Caecilii Metelli (1944) is also apparently prior to her notes below.

to Auctoritas, she grew to understand fully that the scholar trained in Roman history often does not understand the complex and very different methodologies of the numismatist and vice versa. One page (Folder 5, p. 10) of her notes that I paraphrase below clearly expresses this:

A warning against both historians and numismatists on the failure to pool knowledge:

- 1. The ignorance of historians They don't know the full range of types, mints, weights, hoards, etc. and thus often disregard the numismatic evidence. Sometimes when they don't disregard this evidence, they make crass mistakes, e.g., as she says she herself did on p. 71 of *The Divinity of the Roman Emperor*!
- 2. The ignorance of numismatists They often are ignorant of historical sources, and thus equally make crass mistakes, e.g. in the notes of Babelon (1885) and Grueber (1910). In addition, in numismatic publications the text and plates are separated and not well labelled, making it hard to relate the two effectively, e.g., Grant (1946) and Cesano (1947–1949).

As a result she, as an historian, consciously cultivated the expertise of numismatists, most especially by reacting to the publication of important numismatic works such as that of Grant, but also by working with numismatists like Aline Boyce, the Curator of Roman and Byzantine Coins at the American Numismatic Society. It is in this spirit that in 1952 she participated as a lecturer in the first Summer Seminar for graduate students at The American Numismatic Society, and it is in this context—and perhaps even for this occasion—that we have her lecture notes on the topic of this presentation now archived in the Canaday Library at Bryn Mawr College.

In From Imperium to Auctoritas Grant made a Herculean effort to combine the study of more than 1,000 unpublished issues of the Roman aes coinage with an historian's theory of imperium maius. However, he was largely unsuccessful, as the reviews by Harold Mattingly (1946), C.H.V. Sutherland (1947), and R.O. Fink (1949) demonstrate. All three agree with Taylor's assessment above as to the difficulty of successfully combining the numismatist's with the historian's skills. That is not to say, however, that Grant's numismatic work did not release fifty years of interest in the aes coinage that has led at last to a true comprehensive

catalogue of this material with the publication of *Roman Provincial Coinage* (1966–current).⁴

Not only did Grant's numismatic work fail to provide a much-needed replacement for the more than forty year old encyclopedic catalogue of Grueber, but two important general studies of the Republican coinage by Sydenham and Pink, both published in 1952 and thus contemporary with the notes of Lily Ross Taylor, also failed to erase this deficit.⁵

As Sydenham's Preface to *The Coinage of the Roman Republic* states, his work was never meant to replace the work of Grueber, but rather was intended simply to provide a reorganization and chronological reordering of this coinage based on the advances of numismatists since Grueber. After Sydenham's death in 1948 five additional hands (G.C. Haines, C.A. Hersh, L. Forrer, C.H.V. Sutherland, and H. Mattingly) continued to work on his material, modifying and adding to what he left behind, and this work resulted in the final compendium published some three years later. Sydenham's division of the coinage into nine chronological sections is perhaps most notable for its combination of the various mints of this coinage into the chronology and, even more, for its incorporation of the hoard evidence into the consideration of this sequencing, but it adds little to our understanding of the meaning of the coin types themselves.

Perhaps because Sydenham himself was not an academic and because this work was both published and republished by coin dealers,⁷ his *The Coinage of the Roman Republic* did not receive much attention in American academic publications. In Britain a serious review of this publication by Michael Grant appeared rather unusually in *The English Historical Review*,⁸ and of American numismatists only Aline Boyce produced an academic assessment of this work, though even that in a British journal.⁹ Lily Ross Taylor's relationship with Aline Boyce makes the fact that she does not mention this work anywhere in her notes seem even more unusual, but it may be that the factors mentioned above simply led her not to take this work under consideration.

⁴ The same feature is apparent in the more recent work of Woytek (2003).

⁵ Pink's work, although nominally a catalogue, falls short in that it does not address the types or mints in any detail. Instead he concentrates on establishing a series of *triumviri monetales* and on identifying them where possible with persons known from other sources. See Aline Boyce's review of Sydenham's *The Coinage of the Roman Republic* (1952).

⁶ P. vii.

⁷ Spink and Son (1952), reissued by Sanford Durst (1976).

⁸ EHR 68 (1953) 422-444.

⁹ JRS 43 (1953) 193-196.

Whereas Sydenham's primary interest lay in the chronology of the *aes grave* and earliest issues of the denarius, Pink's was more attentive in the later denarius coinage where there was a far greater probability of identifying the moneyers with men known from other historical sources and, thus, of these moneyers being grouped together in annual colleges of three. In adopting this approach, however, Pink did not consider the mints or hoards as Sydenham had done and also, like him, had little to say about the types of the coins themselves.¹⁰

Pink did much of the work on his *The Triumviri Monetales and the Structure of the Roman Republican Coinage* as a curator in Vienna, though the work finally reached publication in New York. In her notes Lily Ross Taylor reacts to it in much the same way as she did to Grant's numismatic work, i.e., as an historian attempting to assess the contribution of the numismatist's work to that of the historian.

One illustrative (and instructive) example of her methodology is the redating and reclassification of Pink's *triumviri* of 48 BCE to an exceptional appointment in 49. She bases this reassignment of the very extensive coinage of C. Vibius C.f. Pansa and Albinus Bruti f. not only on the fact that from the historical sources we know that both men were too old to actually hold the very junior office of *triumvir monetalis* in 48 as it is known from historical sources that Pansa had already held the tribunate in 51 and that Albinus not only had been *quaestor* before 49 (he was over 30), but that he was not even in Rome in 48. She therefore removes this inextricably connected group of coins from Pink's list of *triumviri* for 48 and puts them as a special issue in the year 49. That this is a special issue is undoubtedly the case, but that these coins date to 49 rather than 48 has not met with the agreement of later numismatists (*RRC* 449/1–5, 450/1–3, and 451/1).

As an historian Lily Ross Taylor began her career as a student of Italic cults and from this grew her first book, *The Divinity of the Roman Emperor* (1931). This work centered on ruler cults of both Italy and the Hellenistic East and their relationships to the conceptions underlying the transition from the Roman Republic to the principate of Augustus.¹¹ As time went on, she developed what she came to realize was the remarkable connection between Roman religion and Roman politics in the late Republic and began to focus on Cicero and, especially, on Caesar in *Party Politics in the Age of Caesar* (1949), a work that is contemporary with, or slightly antedates, the notes in her archive. Although here she notes more than once that Caesar's use of his *cognomen* alone is "almost prophetic,"

¹⁰ See the comments of Aline Boyce (1953) 194.

¹¹ For her early career see Linderski (1999) and Potter (2001).

an echo of Mommsen's view of Caesar as "an almost messianic figure," 12 she began in these notes to look much more closely at the typology of the coins to see what the intentions of Caesar and his adherents actually were. Her notes on the numismatic material of 49–42 BCE have exactly this as their ultimate aim. In other words, here Lily Ross Taylor follows her own admonition, and her arguments are far more convincing.

One important illustration of this approach is her consideration of the titles of Caesar that appear on his coins and those of his adherents between 49 and 42 as summarized below.

Caesar

As Miss Taylor notes, the name Caesar has become so familiar to us that it may seem a prophetic look into the future titles of Roman emperors, Tsars, and Kaisers.¹³ But, of course, Caesar could have known none of that. With this in mind, what then does Caesar's own use and the use by his adherents of his *cognomen* alone tell us? To answer this question, Taylor presents us with a thorough set of notes on Caesar's and his adherents' coins on which the *cognomen* CAESAR appears alone or in conjunction with other titles.¹⁴

She notes that on his first issue of 49 BCE (*RRC* 443/1) he, Gaius Julius Caesar, is identified merely with his *cognomen* CAESAR. Although this might be attributed to the fact that at the time the coin was issued Caesar held no office, she also observes that this simple usage appears again on several later issues and, thus, remains a preferred title when others were certainly available for his use: on the reverse of the denarii issued in 48 (*RRC* 452/1–5) that celebrate Caesar's prior military accomplishments at the Battle of Mytilene and in Gaul as well as his position of *pontifex maximus*; again in 47–46 on coins minted in Africa (*RRC* 458/1), and yet again on coins issued in Spain in 46–45 (*RRC* 468/1–2) with Venus Genetrix on the obverse and a reverse that is a variation of his by then familiar military trophy type.¹⁵

¹² Ehrenberg (1974) 128.

¹³ Nearly quoting the thoughts of E. Meyer (1919)

¹⁴ Her (unpublished) views are exactly those expressed by Syme (1958).

¹⁵ In this section I reference the numbers of Crawford (1974) with *RRC* and its number there for the convenience of the contemporary reader. *RRC* 482/1 also has the legend CAESAR IMP that alternates with C.CAESAR. She gives the coin a tentative date of 49, but this rather mysterious and very small issue should probably be dated considerably later (?44). As a result, I have not included it here.

In other words, it is important that Gaius Julius Caesar used his *cognomen* alone to identify himself throughout the 40s BCE, especially when the coins were not issued jointly with another magistrate and when the coins were issued outside of Rome and/or in a military context.¹⁶

Imperator

The historian's sources state unequivocally that Caesar used the title *imperator* as a *praenomen* (Dio 43.44.2) and also had the privilege of handing down this title to his descendants (Suetonius, *Divus Iulius* 76.1). However, in his 1946 *From Imperium to Auctoritas* Michael Grant mustered evidence to argue that it was the position as the individual with *imperium* rather than the *praenomen* that was used and was all-important to Caesar as a late Republican "marshall" or "warlord."¹⁷

Miss Taylor takes up the evidence of the coins in detail. IMP appears for the only time on Caesar's own coinage in 47 on coins issued in Sicily jointly with A. Allienus, as proconsul there (*RRC* 457/1). Its only possible reappearance during Caesar's lifetime, though probably not, is on the much disputed issues of the *IIIIviri monetales* of 44 (*RRC* 480/3,4,5,17 and 18).

The resultant conclusion is that the single occurrence of the title itself does not confirm Grant's theory that Caesar's self-presentation centered on his position as "marshall" or "warlord," at least when it comes to this series of coins.

Pontifex and Augur

To quote Taylor's notes, "Caesar loved these symbols." In fact, the pontifical and/or augural symbols appear on coins issued in every year from 49 until his death. Although the identification of these symbols with a given priesthood may remain a subject of discussion, the fact that they are religious symbols is not in doubt.

The obverse type of his very first issue of 49 (*RRC* 443/1) gives notice of his position as *pontifex maximus* with a group of symbols visualizing the fact that the very safety of Rome lies, through authority over the preservation of the sacred fire, in this priesthood's power.

¹⁶ This is confirmed by Caesar's statement, recorded by Dio 44.10.1, Suetonius *Divus Iulius* 79.2, and Appian *BC* 2.450 that he was not *rex*, but Caesar. It seems to me that is the case in however many ways one wants to construe the comment: see Gelzer (1968) 319 n. 4.

¹⁷ Grant (1946) 408-453.

The theme continues on the issue celebrating Caesar's completion of his fifty-second year (*RRC* 452/3 of 48–47). Here on the obverse is a veiled head of Vesta facing right and, behind the head of the goddess over whose cult the *pontifex maximus* presided, the dipper (*culullus*) reappears.

Taylor does note that Caesar needed to become an augur (Folder 3 below). Indeed, he did in order for his own power to secure legitimacy for the offices he had held — and hopefully would continue to hold. On the next priestly issue (*RRC* 456/1, of 47 BCE), the symbols of axe and *culullus* reappear and are combined with the reverse augural symbols of jug and *lituus*.

On *aurei* issued as COS.TER (consul for the third time) with Hirtius as PR(aetor) in 46 (*RRC* 466/1) the head of Vesta reappears on the obverse and a mix of priestly symbols on the reverse. In the same year from an unknown mint in Africa (*RRC* 467/1), Caesar issued coins with yet another mix of priestly symbols²¹ and the legend AVGVR PONT MAX.²²

In 45 the theme again appears on *aurei* issued as C. CAESAR DICT. TER with L. Plancius as PRAEF.VRB. Here the jug appears alone and carries us into the issues of early 44 where it reappears scattered through the issues of the *IIIIviri monetales*, in most cases behind or before the portrait of Caesar (*RRC* 480/2,3,6, 19 and 20).

In short, as Miss Taylor rightly saw, these titles are the most persuasive and important of the various ways in which the political power of Caesar is represented on his coins and the coins of his adherents. Indeed, as Taylor says, Caesar "loved these symbols" and they clearly prove her point of the political importance of the Roman priesthoods to Caesar, especially in this period when he was attempting to consolidate and define his power.

¹⁸ See Caesar, BC 2.21.5 and Cicero Att. 9.9.3 and 9.15.2.

¹⁹ Between these two issues, I would include *RRC* 452/3 (48 BCE) with an obverse of Vesta (or Rhea Silvia, the first Vestal) whose cult, which preserved the safety of Rome, was in the hands of the *pontifex maximus*. Behind the head there is another *culullus*.

²⁰ On the obverse the axe and *culullus* and on the reverse the *lituus* (wand for reading the omens) and *situla* (pitcher).

²¹ Culullus, aspergillum, situla and lituus.

²² She misses the *lituus* behind the head of Venus Genetrix on *denarii* issued in Spain in 46–45 (*RRC* 468/2).

Conclusion

Celia Schulz has pointed out to us how, in the language of scientists, Lily Ross Taylor's solutions to knotty multifaceted problems would be termed "elegant." 23 I would like to add that Taylor's method of solving knotty and complex problems of understanding the Roman Republican world in the language of contemporary scientists, also would be termed "convergent." I quote from the National Science Foundation's website: "Convergent research is a means of solving vexing research problems, especially complex problems focusing on societal needs. It entails integrating knowledge, methods, and expertise from different disciplines and forming novel frameworks to catalyze scientific discovery and innovation, focused on solving a specific, compelling problem."24 If the accurate reconstruction of our history is a societal problem — and it certainly is — Lily Ross Taylor was not only the master of the elegant solution, but as her last book, Roman Voting Assemblies (1966) shows, she was the master of the convergent solution. We are very much diminished by the fact that her death in 1969 abruptly cut off the path to a new work funded by a 1960 grant from the Guggenheim Foundation, but never completed. Its subject was to be Roman Politics in the Late Republic.²⁵

Jane M. Cody University of Southern California jmcody@usc.edu

²³ As quoted in Hallett (2021) n. 19.

²⁴ See the National Science Foundation website (2019).

²⁵ P. IX of the preface.

TRANSCRIPTION OF LILY ROSS TAYLOR'S NOTES

There are seven folders of notes in box VII of the LRT archive. Folder 1 consists of LRT's notes to herself on Michael Grant's *From Imperium to Auctoritas* (1946). The notes, which represent what LRT found interesting for her own work on Caesar, are on small pieces of paper as reflected in the format I have adopted below. The attribution to folders and page numbers is mine and reflects the way they are organized in the Bryn Mawr archive.

I have respected her divisions, and tried to replicate the size and shape of the note paper used for each section. In all cases I have indicated new paragraphs to represent underlining or indentations that divide her material and I have italized Latin words in the text, but not in quoted inscriptions. I also have noted the places I find indecipherable with question marks in square brackets that represent the apparent length of each. My comments or additions also in square brackets; hers are in round brackets. There are further introductory notes to folders 2–7. What remains is at times inconsistent, but authentic, although I have regularly italicized where she has not always done so and have at times included references to Crawford (1974) to assist the contemporary reader.

FOLDER 1

Folder 1, p.1

Caesar Grant p. 3 Roman *aes* had not recovered from its suppression in the 80's Few pieces struck – A. Hirtius signs issue in Treveri. IX.25 Fiduciary currencies not possible in Italy.

p. 4 Efforts to revive coinage under Caesar

Caesar's municipal policy p. 311 Important Romanization in provinces parallels development in Rome

Title *imperator* Grant p. 38 One issue with Anthony imp. cf. Caesar imp. on his way to imp. Caesar Only one aes of Anthony that shows similar tendency.

Caesar – Grant p. 422.
Commands of 49–28 were diverse, including dictatorships, proconsulates, triumvirates and finally consulships.
all based on *imperium maius* vision in all this is term *imperator* in new sense. First an informal description of *imperium maius*, & had crept into official parlance of one of the rival factions in 44. Soon is designation of the war lords.

Caesar Grant p.11
Amisus
P. Sulpicius Rufus *pro*[*praetor*]
suggests that Sulpicius was governor of Pontus
& Bithynia. Colony led to Sinope
Dates his governorship in 45
Caesar provides *aes* coinage for Empire

Caesar
Numismatic portrait of Julius
appeared in 47–46 under C. Vibius
Pansa Caetronianus in 47–46
See Curtius, *RM* 47 (1932)
p. 231.
Thus 45 is preferable to 44 for
postquam of denarii
I don't follow this.

Grant p.16

Caesar Grant p. 318

Portrait on coins. Rare in cities except those he founded or freed.
e.g. Sinope, Apamea, Alexandria
Troas, Lampsacus, Parium, Achulla,
Hadrumentum.
Anthony at Philippi
Caesar in Julio-Antonian foundations at Lampsacus & Troas
Agrippa, parens patronus at Gades.
Special honor for founder.

Grant p.13

Orichalcum-has zinc instead of tin for alloy of [?word crossed out] copper used for coins of Clovius, perhaps of Sulpicius, also of Thessalonica (?)
Can't get the evidence on Thessalonica p.19 thinks that this coinage [?word crossed out] in orichalcum was Caesar's pragmati[sm] & was profitable

Caesar – Thessalonica?

Grant p.14

Reverse- fiscus
Pl. II.3 sella quaestoria portrait to r.
hasta which Grant
takes to be Caesar

Pl. II.2 or

Prow Letter q Hasta=imperium

Therefore official is a *q.pr.pr*

Don't follow

Exact copy has PRINCEPS.FELIX

Colonia Julia AL[E]=Alexandria Troas

over

[see next p.]

[on back of note above]

He does not convince me that Princeps Felix is Caesar

Orichalcum Grant. pp. 87ff.

I find this highly uncertain. Tries to prove that Caesar worked out an alloy with zinc which was a "relic" of characteristically brilliant & unethical financial policy" [pp.88–89] Cicero's scornful remarks – *De Off.* 3.23. Look up.

Grant on Spain p. 296
Coinage mainly entrusted to Roman
cit.[izen] communities & a vast peregrine
coinage stopped. p. 474 *Peregrini*depressed in favor of Romans. Augustus
lavish only in Spain on foreign
colonization.

Caesar – Grant – Corduba p. 4 Cn.Iuli L.f..Q. Venus

> Cupid – with *cornucopiae* & *caduceus* Corduba or Corduba Bal Corduba alone must precede colony

there, therefore 46–45

Corduba still peregrine with vicus

of Roman citizens

Thinks Bal=Balbus

Grant on monetary policy. p. 300 Bronze circulated along with official orichalcum and copper. Bronze seems to have been considered less valuable than orichalcum and even copper. Augustus embraced Caesar's plan of

Augustus embraced Caesar's plan o fiduciary currency.

Folder 2

[Folders 2–7 contain notes for an important paper probably delivered in 1952. The heart of the talk is on 22 numbered pages as noted below at the top right of each of LRT's pages.]

Folder 2. p. 1

[Page 1 of this folder is an outline of the paper that is fleshed out on pp. 2ff.]

[The outline:]

1. Recent Bibliography

2. Period and Scope of discussion

Career of Caesar

3. Coins of the Roman mint

Efforts to date them

4.Coins of non-Roman mint[s]

5. Bronzes and Grant's theories

6. Coins & their evidence for Caesar's position

Imper[ator]

Consul

Dictator

Religious offices

7. Caesarian propaganda

Peace, concord. etc. in 49

Caesar's family

8. Caesar's deification

General evidence on coins of 45

Coins of 44, particularly strong [the latter crossed out]

Portraits

Temple

Star

Divus Iulius

Divi filius

chair

symbols of new age.

9. General summary

What coins contribute to Caesar

RECENT BIBLIOGRAPHY

2)

Grant, From Imperium to Auctoritas 1946

Roman Anniversary Issues

Cesano, Le monete di Cesare, *Rendiconti della Pontificia Acc. di Arch.* 23 (1947) 103–151 Good summary by Mrs. Boyce in *Numismatic Literature*

K. Pink, The Triumviri monetales and the structure of the Coinage of the Roman Republic

Old article of Ganter [Zeitschrift für Numismatik] 19 (1895) 183ff. Of some importance for fixing Caesar's titles

Career of Caesar – follow it out on the sheet [see Folder 7 below]

Coins of Caesar [This and the repetitive section below it is crossed out]

Coins of Caesar - None before 49. Never was triumvir of mint. No coinage of Gaul.

None as curule aedile. Curious

Coins of Roman mint.

Important problems

Coinage with symbol III

Take up Pink's dating of the *triumviri monetales*.

Coins of Caesar [a new start on this section]

Folder 2, p. 4 [voided page 3, the backside of a note; a pencil square with no information]

Coins of Caesar 3}

Curious that there are none before 49
He was not *IIIvir monetalis*He did not issue special coins as curule
aedile. Curious – We should expect it –
Perhaps senate wouldn't let him
Events of his early career. Civic crown – on female
head on coins of 49
Coinage begins in year 49

Official Roman coins and at same time issues in Gaul.

Large amounts of gold – issues for his troops who received 2000 sestertii each – Aurei significant for that.

Bearing on the date of Caesar's birth. LII [RRC 452/1–5]

Mommsen's suggestion

Cesano Opposing views

[I.5–7] Carcopino, Mel.Bidy II 64ff., Cesano.

Fig.1 & 2 argue that date is 101.

p. 186 Gr. 49.13

My date is 100 Caesar born July 13 Should date coins after July 13th and before Oct. 49 when Caesar was 51 & in his 52nd year.

4)

Folder 2, p. 5

Reasons for my redating of the coins 49–48 [e.g., changing Pink's dates]

Triumviri monetales in Pink's list for 48–47
He puts C. Vibius Pansa and Brutus Albini f. as triumviri in 48
Impossible. Both too old. That was preliminary office.
Pansa was trib.pl. in 51 [??]
D. Brutus had been quaestor before 49, was therefore over 30. However, he was was not in Rome in 48

Their coinage is special – not stated Why – no offices existed on Caesarian side. Moreover their coinage has relations with Caesar

Gr. 49.17 Connections with Gaul. *carnyces* [*RRC* 449/1–

451/5] Relation to propaganda of 49 2 joined hands, Pietas, Libertas

Have transferred coins of 48-47 in Pink -

Reason – Coins of the 3 [3 crossed out] men I have assigned

to 48 have relations to Caesarian coins of 49

Gallic spoils

Lady with oak crown, identical with coins of 52

Perhaps Gallic spoils on reverse

Have put in only one moneyer in 47. No elections until October that year. Not much time for coinage.

5)

Accept Pink's dates for coins of 46 to first half of 44, except that I have omitted coinage of 2 praetors who coined [?55] They are L. Cestius, C. Norbanus. Can find no criteria. Pink puts in 45 [RRC 491/1–2 dated to 43]

44 *IIII monetales*. Do not believe Pink's theory of 2 sets of moneyers that year. There was no second set of elections.

The curious case of L. Flaminius Chilo *pri fl*. Should put him in 43. [*RRC* 485/1 dated to 43]

Special reason for such a claim in that year. Propaganda for Octavian. Plenty of people against him. Note that his portrait of Caesar differs from that on moneyers of 44. To be considered later.

Other moneyers. Accept Pink for 42. Will come back to this later. 9 [? more or less] additional names to fill out 43, 41, 40

12 places in those years. One (Chilo) taken for 43. [RRC 485/1–2]. We have nine names for 11 places. Can't distribute them.

NON-ROMAN MINTS

?6]

COINS OF GAUL, ETC.

C. CAESAR IMP.COS.TER Sicilian mint Den[arius] Venus r. 47 r) A. ALLIENVS PRO COS Hero Trinacrus

> Gr. II 559 &20.44. [*RRC* 457/1]

Head of Ceres r. DICT ITER coin of Africa 46 B.C. COS.TERT

Emblems of pontificate & augurate

Simpulum, aspergillum, urceus,

lituus [AVGVR] [Gr.] II 576 [PONT.MAX] Gr .121.13 [RRC 467/1]

Coins of Gaul and Spain in year 49. All with CAESAR, with one CAESAR IMP

Folder 2. p. 8

[A note from Folder 1 possibly misplaced]

[Grsnt] p.7 Bronze of C. Clovius praef Caesar dict.ter until Dec. 45 Thinks Clovius is *praefectus* under Caesar's *imperium maius* Was in Cisalpine Gaul [RRC 476/1]

[?] Hortensius had title praef.colon.ded[?u]
Analogy with Clovius is [???????]
p.33 but title doesn't seem same. I
question
Clovius is convincing – He was working
in Cisalpine Gaul as Caesar's praefectus to
lead colonies.

GRANT'S THEORIES

?7)

I take first his theory of Caesar's colonial policy pp. 302–8, important – Caesar's great problem was settlement of his veterans and the surplus city population to prevent violence; therefore to share treasury No more public land in Italy. He confiscated & bought what he could but he had to send colonies overseas. Had done much Brutus – see speech after death of Caesar promised to carry out Caesar's plans – & did make further settlements.

Triumviri with large numbers of veterans to reward had to carry out policy & went on with it

But this great series of settlements – Sicily, Sardinia, east, west provinces, Africa was essentially design of Caesar. We have His charter for Urso – and we have numerous series of coins. Corinth long known – but there were many others.

Not cosmopolitan – Did not accept empire –
Took Rome to empire. Roman citizens,
soldiers and civilians – Latin_splendidly
attested by inscriptions on coins –
Caesar's grandiose design. Abandoned under Augustus
Series of officers who helped assign
land. Puts in there:
Cesano fig. 3 p. 111 C. Clovius praefec (agr.dand)
They represent significant new metal

They represent significant new metal Orichalcum – zinc and copper – cheap.

8)

Folder 2. p. 10

COINS AND THEIR EVIDENCE FOR CAESAR'S POSITIONS

[CAESAR]

Name Caesar alone on coins of 49
Elephant which plays on name
Very significant.
There was no other CAESAR
Prophetic – Kaiser, Czar
Name was enough in 49 when Caesar
had no legal position. Revolution.
Complete absence of Julius
Absence of Gaul
[C.????]
[Gr. II 390–391]
[Gr. 103.5]

IMPERATOR]

 $[RRC \, 443/1]$

But perhaps in that year comes his first use of *imperator*Female head to r. with fillet

R) Trophy with arms

CAESAR.IMP

[The following crossed out with NO:]

Cesano I.8 Gr. 100.19

Gr. Spain 70 [references to Cesano and Gr. Crossed out and replaced with this] [RRC 482/1, but dated to 44]

Continuance of title —

On Sicilian mint – coin of A. Allienus in 47

Title comes into its own in Roman mint in 44 on portraits of Caesar

of M. Mettius and L. Buca

[The following crossed out with NO in margin:] Cesano 3.3; Gr. 54.5; replaced with] 4137 Title had according to Dio been given to Caesar and his descendants

according to Suetonius-had been given as

as praenomen. No case of it as praenomen.

This is a new use. Develops from Pompey.

Had no real meaning before

Pink's theory of imperium maius.

Something in it, – but Caesar not

quite so theoretical as Pink makes him

out.

Wish coins could prove when Octavian began to be called imp.Caesar. I think in 40 B.C.

FOLDER 3

Folder 3. p. 1

CONSUL

8)

Found on a number of coins with the enumeration. But in Roman mint is more apt to be on aurei than on silver e.g. coin of Hirtius in 46 [*RRC* 466/1] with

C.CAESAR.COS.TER and aurei of 44 [RRC 481/1]

Gr 53.19 Bust of Venus, R Gr. 54.1 CAES.DIC.QVAR

[?den.] Cesano

I.6 R) COS. QVINC within laurel wreath

Consulship not on coins of IIIIviri of 44

DICTATOR

Great emphasis on this title in issues of triumviri & of non-Roman mints.

This was real basis of Caesar's power.

Imperium went with it as well as with consulship

Coin[s] of Clovius &Plancus dict.ter.

Most significant on coins of IIIIviri in in 44 with dictator IIII & dictator perpetuus

They will be considered later.

Dictator perpetuus also on revivals of Caesar types in the next years – but only on issues combined with Octavian.

Gr. 104.10 [*RRC* 490/2]

Anthony destroyed office of dictator.

CONSUL and DICTATOR

Caesar's issue of 44

[RRC 481/1]

?9)

```
Folder 3. p. 3
```

RELIGIOUS OFFICES

Statement on great priests [introcuction to prieshoods by LRT]

Pontifex maximus was only official title Caesar had in 49. Note there is no indication of it on coins of Roman mint in 49. But it is found in symbols of on Gallic series of same year. Series with elephant & symbols on other side. **Pontificate** only Gr. 103.5 C[esano] I.1; [RRC 443/1] Pontificate only. Caesar needed to be an augur. Augurate acquired by Caesar almost certainly in elections of Dec. 49. Coins help to fix date. First is aureus, attributed by Grueber to East, by Pink to Rome capis axe and simpulum CAES.DICT R) Pitcher, lituus. laurel wreath ITER C[esano] II.8 Gr. 121.1 [RRC 456/1]

Inscription fixes date in 47 & to my mind fixes Caesar's election to augurate in 49.

Others with symbols of both offices -

den. Coin of 46

Gr. 121.13. Female head to r. COS.TER.DIC.ITER

 $[RRC\ 467/1]$

r) AVGVR.PONT.MAX

African mint

Γ

IM PM on one of coins of 44, IIIIviri mon. [RRC 480/4]

Symbols on other coins, e.g., Hirtius C[esano] II. 3 &4 [RRC 466/1]

Others of 44. C[esano]. III.1&2; IV.6&7

[RRC 480/2]

Caesar loved these symbols.

Folder 3. P.4

[A note from Folder 1 on Grant; seemingly misplaced from notes on Deification below]

Caesar. Grant p.13 Crab on coin of P. Sulpicius Rufus – Alföldi *RM* 1935 p. 146 associates it with *cosmocrator* who will inaugurate birth of nature under the crab.

CAESARIAN PROPAGANDA

13)

In 49

D. Iunius Brutus Albinus

C. Vibius Pansa

show on their denarii propaganda for

Caesar (both of them his associates)

Emphasize Pax [crossed out], Libertas, Concordia [crossed out],

Pietas. Idea of peace and concord.

Dates – attributed to 48 by Pink

to 49 by Grueber

49 seems to me to suit the propaganda

better. Should suppose that officers of mint

were out of commission & Pansa &

D. Brutus made special issue – (Grueber)

They are too old for *triumviri monetales*

Emphasis on Gallic spoils fits 49

Grant

C. Vibius Pansa was tr. pl. in 51.

Types – Brutus

den. Head of Mars r. with beard, crested helmet

Grant r.) 2 carnyces, oval & round shield

pp. 507 ff.

ALBINVS BRVTI F.

Gr. 49.17

[RRC 450/1]

PIETAS Head r.

r) 2 right hands joined, holding winged

caduceus. ALBINVS.BRVTI. F.

[Gr.] 49.18

 $[RRC \, 450/2]$

Head of his ancestor

r) Wreath of corn ALBINVS BRVTI F

[Gr.] 49.19-21

[RRC 450/3]

Types – Pansa

C. Vibius Pansa. C.f C.n Pansa

Folder 3, p. 5 (continued)

Head of Bacchus

R) Ceres, wreath of grain

C.VIBIVS.C.F.C.N. [Gr.] Pl. 50. 1&2 [RRC 449/2]

Mask of Pan

 $[RRC \, 449/1]$

Jupiter Anxur

Head of LIBERTAS

R) Roma on Gaulish armor [incorrect description} C.PANSA C.F.C.N. $[RRC\ 449/4]$

{Gr. 50.8]

[RRC 451/1] Mask of Pan PANSA

Brutus and Pansa r) right hands joined ALBINUS. BRVTI.F

Folder 3. P.6

OTHER CAESARIAN PROPAGANDA

11)

Gallic spoils on coins of 49, esp. there in Gallic and Spanish mints.

Cesano I.3,4,5,7,8

Caesar & his [??????]

Who is the lady associated with these arms?

On 3 and 4 prob. Venus.

With oak crown? Perhaps Pietas, but

I can't find other examples of the type [crossed out]

Why Pietas? From den.[arius] of Herennius [????]

labeled Pietas, Has Anchises & Aeneas

Gr.30.20 Does [? 1 2 = RRC 454/1] give the clue? Aeneas

[RRC &Anchises – Is this an advance

452/1–4] notice of Pius Aeneas?

Or can the veiled head be Rhea Silvia? [crossed out]

That brings us to aurei of Hirtius in 46 Cesano II. 3 & 4 Gr.51.20,21,22,23, 24 false [*RRC* 466/1]

Habit of moneyers to celebrate achievements of ancestors. But Julii hadn't any good [?crossed out] legends – Virgil had to go back to Aeneas &his immediate descendants

Caesar in pretty much same state.
Family elements needed.

[An unreferenced note on Julus-quoted in Meyer (1919) p. 511

[?????] in Eusebius (p.138 Karst) – Silvius was made king; Iulus having lost leadership was put in the high priesthood in which until today the Julian family is.

Dion.Hal. 1.70. To Iulus in place of kingdom a priestly office was given which was superior to this monarchy in security & tranquility. This office the Julian [crossed out] house descended from him & called Julian still enjoys down to my time.

[Unreferenced note with Dion. Hal. 1.70 in Greek; translated here]

However, instead of the kingship, a certain sacred power and dignity exceeding that of the monarchy in lack of danger and ease of life was granted to Julus, the fruits of which his family, who are called Julii after him, enjoy even up to my time.

Hirtius coins. Aurei

12)

Bahrfeldt pp. 35–37. Pl. IV 1–5 [*RRC* 466/1]

Obv. C.CAESAR.COS.TER Veiled head right

Rev. A.HIRTIVS PR. *lituus*, pitcher, axe (pontificate & augurate)

Date clearly 46 B.C. Caesar's triumph in September. Large donations. Many examples. One of 80 Variation in weight- from 7.40 to 8.31 gr.

[In left margin:] check in Bahrfeldt Head now [???] with older features, now small with younger. In former case inscription n reverse is more careless. Final S is either smaller or lacking. Often periods after COSor PR are lacking on these. 2 groups so different that it has been suggested that there were different mints and different times. Suggestion that older head is Caesar – Bahrfeldt thinks without reason. Other coins leave COS.ITER off & Hirtius' name – signs of laurel crown on some of them – effort to make them like Caesar. Undoubtedly spurious. Examples in Paris & London and one or two others [Bahrfeldt] Pl., 4.,6-8] I suggest Rhea Silvia and Iulus. Veil fits both. Rhea Silvia a Vestal. Iulus was p.m. of Alba, Dion.Hal.

[3]

CAESARIAN PROPAGANDA

Not a coin of Caesar emphasizes popular politics – liberty, *populi potestas*But one series gives each emphasis.
Coins of Lollius Palicanus –
Gr. dates in 47, Pink in 46
I prefer 46, for I doubt much coinage in 47.

Types -

Head of Libertas to R. LIBERTATIS
den. R) Rostra or represented with beaks of ships
subsellium on top
PALIKANUS

Gr. 517 Pl. 50.8 [*RRC* 473/1]

> den. Head of Honos to r., HONORIS R) Curule chair bet. 2 ears of grain Gr.I 518 Pl. 50.19

Gr.50.19 [*RRC* 473/2]

sest. Voting urn

NO! R) Voting tablet with ring attached PALIKANUS

Refers to family history – son of a prominent tribune – 71 B.C

Seems to suggest more popular government than Caesar permitted.

Voting tablets no longer emphasized though Caesar was building great *saepta* for voting units.

14)

FOLDER 4

[Folder 4 continues and completes LRT's notes for this talk (pp. 15–22). Once again, the numbers in the top right corner of each page are LRT's. At times, as before, these are difficult to decipher]

Folder 4 p. 1

KINGSHIP, DEIFICATION & PROPAGANDA FOR THESE 15)

Here it is the merit of Alföldi to have to have seen relation of coins of 45 to Caesar's desire (or other's propaganda) for kingship and to have related these to coins of 42 when propaganda was established. Sibylline Books. [2 words crossed out] Oracle to be brought forward that Parthians only to be conquered by a king. [Suet.] *Jul.* 79; cf. Cic. *Div.* 2.110; L. Aurelius Cotta to bring out oracle – Cicero distressed about him in summer of 45.

On coins Sibyl

Of 45 Figures of Apollo and Diana

Globe

Double cornucopia with fillets

Not once the name of Caesar This would all be meaningless if the themes did not reappear in 42 Folder 4. p. 2

Coins of 45 Tr[iumviri] Mon[etales]

16)

T. Carisius

D Sibvl

R) Sphinx

[Gr.] 529; 52.3

[RRC 464/1 dated 46]

D Roma

R) celestial globe with cornucopia, scepter & rudder

Laurel wreath around it

[Gr.] 531, [Gr.] 52.6

[RRC 464/3 dated 46]

Sest. Head of Diana, diademed

R) Hound running

[Gr.] 531 [GR]. 52.11

RRC [464/8]

C. Considius Paetus

D. Head of Apollo, r. laureate [Gr.] 531-2 R) wreath on curule chair [Gr.] 52.12-14

(RRC 465/1-2)

Emended at bottom of p. with notation Ref[erence]:

Head of Apollo to r.

R) Chair, draped, wreath

44 B.C. Dio 44.6 A gilded chair

was granted him (crossed out). His golden chair &

crown set with precious stones and overlaid with gold should be carried

[just as those of the gods – Greek text]

Gr. 52.12-14

Bust of Cupid, r. Sest.

R) Double cornucopia, with fillet on globe

[Gr.] p. 533 [Gr.] 52. 22-23 (RRC 465/8)

L.Valerius Acisculus

D Head of Apollo Soranus (last word crossed out] diademed (?????] headed pick, within laurel wreath R) female fig[ure] on heifer

> [Gr.] 534 Pl. 53.1

[RRC 474/1 dated to 45]

D Head of Apollo, r.
R) Sibyl as on obverse of Carisius' coins
[Gr] 536: 53

[Gr.] 536; 53.7 [RRC 474/3]

[In pencil below; should go above under C. Considius Paetus:

Head of Apollo to r.

Gr. 52. 12–14 (*RRC* 465/1) R) Chair, draped, wreath

Coins of the IIIIviri monetales in 44

17)

Portraiture. Appears first on coins of Roman mint in this year.

BMC Pontus Known two or three years earlier in Bithynia. & Bith. Pl. 31.13

Heads on obverse of Roman coins practically always gods or kings though recently there had occasionally been ancestors of moneyers.

No living man on coins of Roman mint until 44.

Caesar's own aurei of that year also lack portraits
But the four moneyers all bear portraits
Bildniss redit. Pink p.39.53
On interpreting Dio
I believe in the Bildnis redit
If only because it was withheld until
This year and gods belong there

Not much time to make good portraits.

Represents deification

Gr. Pl.

See Cesano plates III&IV

54

[RRC 480/passim]

Folder 4.P.4

Other signs of deification

18)

Temple. Coin of Sepullius Macer.

Temple. 4 columns, closed doors CLE-

MENTIAE.CAESARIS

Cesano 4.4 R) P.SEPULLIUS 2 horses - desultor

Gr. 54.22 Referred to by Dio 44.6.4, by Appian & Plutarch

RRC 480/20]

Might not have been believed if it were not for coins. No

Reference in Cicero.

Was not built.

Instead temple

of Divus Julius

Star. Behind Caesar's head on coin of Sepullius Macer Cesano 4.1 cf.] 4.2 & 4.3 with Venus Gr. 54.15–17 scepter on star. [RRC 480/5] These coins come after Caesar's death.

Appearance of Julian star.

Pliny N.H. 2.94 quotes from Augustus' memoirs: "During the very times of my games a comet was seen for seven days in the northern region of the sky. It would rise about the 11th hour and was very bright and conspicuous in all lands. This comet the people thought indicated that Caesar's soul had been received among the immortal gods. For that reason this symbol was placed above the head of the statue of Caesar which I consecrated in the Forum soon afterwards.["]

Baebius Macer on Ecl 9.47. Some said that star arose to glorify young Caesar; he said it was his father's soul & put star on statue. But haruspex said it was the end of 9th saeculum or beg[inning] of 10th.

First appearance of this star on coins of 44. Historical identification. Curious that Cesano doesn't use this star in determining dates.

Cesano 4.6 Knows that another coin of Sepullius Macer is Gr. 54.23 after the Ides of March.

[RRC 480/20]

DEIFICATION 20)

Coin of Gaul Gr.II 405

Head of Octavian, r. slight beard CAESAR.III.VIR.R.P.C

[Gr] Pl.104.12 [RRC 497/2]

R) Curule chair with wreath inscribed CAESAR.DIC.PER.

Chair carried into theater with chairs of the gods

21)

COINS OF 42 - DEIFICATION

Juilian star in heavens – to bring a new age.

5th eclogue

9th eclogue poems of 41–40

4th eclogue

Alföldi [1930] Moneyers of 42 have portraits of triumviri and

212 an additional series Clodius.Anthony

P.Clodius M.f. Pink See plate, enlarged Head of Sol, radiate See also Alföldi Plate no. 20

Gr. 57.2&3 r) crescent moon – 5 stars P.CLODIVS.M.F. [RRC 494/20–21]

Cicero *N.D.* 2.51 quarum (2 planets) ex Disparibus motionibus efficitur cum solis et lunae et quinque errantium ad eandem inter se comparationem confectis omnium spatiis est facta conversion. Cesano 18.11

Alföldi[1930]

24 Felicitas temporum of Septimius Severus

[RRC 494/ Apollo

[r] Standing figure of Diana between torches

Babelon I, p. [3??] no.14 [crossed out]

C. Vibius Varus

No particular significance

L. Livineus Regulus

No particular significance

L. Mussidius Longus

Folder 4, p. 7 (continued)

21) [continued]

Gr. 56.11 L. MUSSIDIUS LONGUS {Gr. 56.12] Reverse of coin of Anthony, Lepidus & Oct[avian] [Gr. 56.]13] Cornucopiae with fillets [RRC 494/14]

Den. Head of Caesar r.
Gr. 57.18 R) cornucopiae on globe between (RRC 494/39] rudder and winged caduceus
L.MUSSIDIUS LONGUS

Look up P[??????]...... & Sall. *Jug* [????}

Back of p. 21

Gr. 582 no plate Winged radiate fig., r. foot on globe; caduceus in r, cornucopia [?????] bow & quiver; eagle on cippus; shield

Back of

21)

Gr. p.582 no Plate (RRC 494/5) Winged radiate fig., r. foot on globe; caduceus in r. cornucopiae in l. bow & quiver; eagle on cippus; shield

Felicitas – symbols, empire= caduceus & cornucopiae

WHAT DO THE COINS CONTRIBUTE?

22)

Grant's theories

Colonization & Romanization

Development of idea of *imperium*

Value he set on *imperium*

Dictator rather than *consul* – something that was not to be precedent.

Great importance of his religious offices $p[ontifex\ maximus.$ $augur\ when\ he\ finally\ got\ it.$ Coins give the date.

Kingship and deification Coins of 45 prove the ideas were in air for both. They went together.

Coins of 44 support sources – portrait on coins – Clementia which simply would have been rejected. Star. Confirm stories about that. Chair And great background for ideas that are all in Virgil

Background for Secular Games.

Folders 5–6 contain pages of scattered notes on topics related to the talk on pp. 1–22 above and Folder 7 contains handouts and lists of sources of her images for presentations on this subject.

Folder 5, p. 1

Grant on imperium 408ff.

Statement of Suetonius that Caesar took *praenomen imperatoris* & of this he got title and privilege of handing it down to his descendants

Not found on Caesar's coins or inscriptions.

Denarii But on Pompeian coins

Aurei CN.MAGNVS.IMP & IMP.F. 46 [RRC469/1; 470/1]471/1]

MAGNVS PIVS.IMP & IMP.F. 45 [*RRC* 478/1] IMP.SEX. MAGNVS [477/1–3]

Latter at end of the year -dealings

with senate Title inherited

Denarii Urbs Imperatoria Salacia [RRC 477/1-3]

Later Sextus takes MAGNVS as first name [RRC 478/1]

One aes – Antonius imp. [RRC 489/2]

Octavian takes *imperator* as *praenomen* – when? ?38 B.C.

The marshal

The warlord Commands of 48–28 were diverse – dictatorships, proconsulships, triumvirates, consulships; But peculiarity was subordination of other *imperium* to one supreme

Original note of the type of Folder 1 (smaller piece of paper) transferred to this position:

Caesar-Grant p. 317

Caesar abolished *IIIviri col.ded*. in favor of more personal methods.

Folder 5, p. 3 Coins of 42

L. Mussidius Longus

Aurei. A.P.F with names of 3 triumviri

Anthony, head

R) Mars, foot on shield

Octavian, slight beard

R) Mars

Lepidus

R) Mars

Denarii

Victory

[RRC 494/40]

R) Victory in biga

Aureus for all 3 not A.P.F.

Mark Anthony

R) cornucopiae tied with fillets

[Gr. 56.11

Gr.573-578

[RRC 494/7-9]

(RRC 494/14)

Similar for Octavian and Lepidus

[Gr.] 56.12&13

[RRC 494/13&15]

Aureus

Goddess, diadem, necklace

R) Name of moneyer within wreath of corn

[RRC 494/46]

Denarii

Concordia, veiled, diadem CONCORDIA

R) 2 right hands joined. Name of moneyer

[Gr.] 56.17

(RRC 494/41)

Head of Julius Caesar r., laureate

Cornucopiae on globe bet[ween] rudder, caduceus,

apex, name of moneyer

[Gr.] 56.18

[RRC 494/39]

Folder 5, p. 3 (continued)

Head of CONCORDIA

R) Circular platform, CLOACIN

[Gr.] 57. 4-5 [RRC 494/42]

Bust of Sol

R) CLOACIN [Gr. LVII.4 & 5

 $[RRC \, 494/43]$

Coins of 42 (c'd)

L. Livineius Regulus

[Gr. 578-82

Aurei

Head of Mark Anthony with inscrip[tion] Rev) Anton (?). L.REGVLVS.IIIIVIR APF

Gr. 57.6-7 [*RRC* 494/2]

Head of Octavian

R) Aeneas carrying Anchises

L.REGVLVS IIIIVIR APF

Gr. 57.8–9 [*RRC* 494/3]

Head of Lepidus

R) Vestal Virgin Aemilia, l with simpulum & sceptre

Same inscript[ion].

[RRC 494/1]

Denarii

Head of Octavian

R) Victory, bearing wreath & palm branch

[RRC 494/25]

Other types figure pr. Regulus and Regulus F PRAEF.VR.

[RRC 494/31]

None of these have application except one with head of Julius Caesar r. winged caduceus & laurel branch – Bull on reverse

[Gr.] 582;57.18 [*RRC* 494/24]

Coins of 42

Aurei

Gr. 582-586

P. Clodius M.F.

M.ANTONIVS IIIvir R.P.C. Portrait, bearded C.CAESAR IIVIR R.P.F. r) P.CLODIVS M.F. IIIIVIR A.P.F.

r) P.CLODIVS M.F. IIIIVIK A.P.F

[?????] foot on globe, caduceus,

Cornucopiae, bow, quiver, eagle, shield

Alföldi 18 [*RRC* 494/5]

Head of Octavian, r.. C. CAESAR r) Venus Genetrix – dove, above Cupid P.CLODIVS M.F. IIII A.P.F.

[RRC 494/6]

Head of Lepidus. M.LEPIDUS IIIVIR R.P.C.

r) Concordia – scepter and cornucopiae

[RRC 494/4]

Denarii

Anthony, rev. Mars [RRC 494/17]

Octavian, rev. Mars [RRC 494/18]

Julius Caesar, laureate, rev. Mars

[[RRC 494/16]

Octavian rev. Ceres [RRC 494/19]

Aurei and denarii

Head of Sol, radiate

R) Crescent moon, 5 stars P.CLODIVS M.F.

[RRC494/20-21]

Head of Apollo, r. laureate

R) Diana Lucifera, bow and quiver, lighted torch in each hand [RRC 494/22-23]

Folder 5. P.6

Coins of 42 Gr. 587–590 [RRC 494/34–38]

C. Vibius Varus

Aureus 3 series coins with portraits of Anthony, Oct. & Lepidus

r) C.VEIBIVS VARVS

Two right hands joined [RRC 494/10-12]

Also other types

Den Head of Anthony, r. D[enrius]

Oct.

R) Fortuna [*RRC* 494/32]

Minerva on Oct. D[enarius]

[RRC 494/33]

Also other types with with no connection with triumviri[[:]

Bacchus & Panther	[<i>RRC</i> 494/36]
Roma & Nemesis	[RRC494/35]
Apollo and Venus	[<i>RRC</i> 494/34]
Hercules & Minerva	[<i>RRC</i> 494/ 37]
Minerva & Hercules	[<i>RRC</i> 494/38]

Folder 5, p. 7 [re. Pietas as reminiscent of Vurgil's Eclogues]

Coins of Gallienus PIETAS.SAECVL. r) Heifer nourishing 2 young

> Alföldi, Num. Chron. 1929 Jupiter & Gallienus p.268

Pl.X G[allienus]
IOVI EX ORIENTI
Baby Jupiter on goat

Similar fig. therefore implies LAETIT TEMP X.9
PIET. SAECVLI X.8
IOVI CRESCENTI X.10

Also [X.] 12, 13 14, 15, 16

Circ. 287ff. A.D.

Needed [a note to herself].

Mattingly on 5th Ecl[ogue] Ganter Grant Anniversary Issues

[More of the notes to herself]

Alföldi Cesano 2 copies of Grueber

Get ref[erence] p. 16 [crossed out] P. 17 [crossed out] What is Grueber Pl. 31.13? [crossed out] Have to find in Nicaea portrait of Caesar [crossed out] Text of Alf<u>ö</u>ldi [crossed out] Folder 5.p.10

Warning against both historians & numismatists

Failure to pool knowledge Ignorance of historians – don't know types, mints, weights, hoards etc. Often disregard evidence. Sometimes when they don't,, make crass mistakes

> p. 71 of *The Divinity of the Roman Emperor* Venus & Victory

Warning against numismatists.

Often ignorant of history. Grueber's footnotes –also Babelon's. Crass
Mistakes. Nothing very different
Also for Grant, Pink, Am. Num. Soc.
Moreover they don't help you in your investigation.
Failure for instance to explain [last word crossed out] provide description of plates
Grant
Cesano

Value of present experiment

Folder 6

Folder 6, p. 1

CAESAR AND ISSUES OF BRONZE & ORICHALCUM (ZINC ALLOY)

In provinces - his governors make issues -An important one with portrait of Caesar is that of C. Vibius Pansa in Nicaea in Bithynia (other types in other cities, Apamea, Nicomedia)

Association with city foundations – Caesar's vast colonial policy – mingling whole empire Grant tries to make out a series of officers to found colonies and allot land – *praefecti*

Thus C. Clovius is interpreted as such an officer (coin Cesano fig. 3 p. 110)
Grant thinks in Cisalpine Gaul. I wonder.

P. Sulpicius Rufus who seems to have been governor of Pontus and Bithynia in 45 – found on bronze of Apamea and Sinope. Pl.8.13. Grant.

Final letters on coin DIC (D)eduxit I(ussu) C(aesaris)

This may be orichalcum

Hasta

Thessalonica (?) Pl.II.3 Grant.

Sella

Fiscus

Portrait head often taken as Brutus but more likely Caesar. Is found on coin of Alexandria Troas. Princeps Felix (I don't think that is Caesar)

Q. Hortensius Hortalus under Brutus (Macedonia) PRAEF.COLON.DEDV.

Was carrying out Caesar's policy as Brutus promised he would.

Grant.

Caesar's restoration of bronze coins. Grant. Hirtius' coins among Treveri, c. 50 B.C.

Coins of Corduba.

CN.IVLI.L.F. Q attributed to about 47 [Grant] 1.1

Orichalcum of Clovius

C.CLOVI. PRAEF. Minerva to l.,
Carrying shield with [These two lines crossed out.]
Draped bust of winged Victory, sometimes with star in field
CAESAR.DIC.TER
r) Minerva walking l., shield with gorgoneion,
trophy, arms. C.CLOVI.PRAEF
examples found in Italy, Germany, Bohemia, France,
not in East

What sort of *praefectus*? Grant identifies him with the Clovius in Cisalpine Gaul Cesano fig. 3 p. 111 [*RRC* 476/1]

49 B.C.

[may not belong here]

Coins with LII

Roman mint

AV

o) Lady with oak crown

r) Trophy of Gallic arms, including *carnyx* CAESAR Gr. 49.12&

13

Cesano I.5 [*RRC* 452/1]

Den.

o) Same lady with oak crown

r) Trophy & Gallic arms; captives below

Gr. 49.14

Cesano p. 106 fig.1&2

 $[RRC \, 452/2]$

Quin. o) Veiled female head

r) Gallic trophy

Gr. 49.16 Cesano I.7 [*RRC* 452/3]

The lady with oak crown also appears on coins of L. Hostilius Saserna

Gr. 50.9 [*RRC* 448/1]

Is she Pietas?

That is usual identification

The goddess has various attributes, the most common of which is the stork. But veiled head found on imperial coins.

Sestertii of Caligula - veiled.

49 B.C.

Gallic and Spanish and Eastern Coins with CAESAR

Den. o) Elephant CAESAR

r) symbols of pontificate

Cesano I.1 Gr.103.5 [*RRC* 443/1]

Den. o) Head of Venus to r.

r) Aeneas with Anchises and palladium CAES

Cesano I.2 Gr. II 469 [Gr.] 110.20 [RRC 458/1]

Den. o) Head of Venus to l. lituus and Cupid

to r. scepter [?] at neck

r) Gallic arms, figures

Cesano I.3 Gr. 101.9

Attributes to Spain

& 45 B.C. [*RRC* 468/2]

Den. o) Female head r. fillet

r) Trophy with arms CAESAR.IMP

Cesano I.8 Gr. 100.19 [*RRC* 482/1]

[This entry is crossed out and reappears below]

Note only one has CAESAR IMP [See above and below]

o) Venus with Cupid at back of neck

r) Gallic trophy, 2 figures CAESAR

Cesano I.4 Gr. 101.9 [*RRC* 468/1]

Folder 6, p. 4 (continued)

- o) Female head r. fillet
- r) Trophy with arms CAESAR.IMP

Gr.100.19 [*RRC* 482/1]

Others dated later, but Cesano puts it in Spain in 49. possible rival of Pompeian titles at period

Character of Coins of 49

Stress Gallic victories One stresses pontificate with elephant Stress Venus Possibly Pietas, but anyway oak crown Family history in Aeneas and Anchises

Significance of CAESAR without titles Almost prophetic But Caesar had no legal title at this time.

His generously funded colonies

e.g. P. Sulpicius Rufus now

maybe identified as governor of Pontus and Bithynia

orichalcum Relation to Sinope. Date 45

orichalcum Thessalonica? This isn't clear to me

PRINCEPS.FELIX ought not to be

Caesar – Identification of a portrait as Caesar [??]

Cesano 13 &

II.3

[?Peregrine] Bronze of Nicaea under

Vibius Pansa has first known portrait

of Caesar ca. 46. B.M.C. B&P

153; 31.13

Numerous city foundings – going back

to Caesar – See Grant's appendices 3–6. Grant

pp. 458–62

Second aspect of Grant's work concerns The term *imperium*, *imperator*. To be discussed here since this is first title of Caesar I shall take up Central feature of his work, explanation of CA on Augustan images Here he is entirely convincing but But he builds on it elaborate theory of The *imperium maius*.

FOLDER 7

Folder 7, p. 1

THE CONTRIBUTION OF COINAGE TO THE STUDY OF CAESAR'S DICTATORSHIP AND DEIFICATION Conducted by Professor Lily Ross Taylor

- 1. The importance of the coins of 46–44 for Caesar's position in the state and his titles. Stress on religious offices, notations of dictatorship, the title imperator with consideration of Grant's theories.
- 2. Symbolism on the coins of 45–42 B.C.in relation to the deification of Caesar and the prospect of a new age.

READING

- H.A. Grueber, *Coins of the Roman Republic in the British Museum* <u>I</u>, 527–553 (omitting footnotes)
- M. <u>Bahrfeldt</u>, *Römische Goldmunzen-prägungen*, 30–40; 50–54.
- M. Grant, From Imperium to Auctoritas. 408-423

SUGGESTED READING

- L.R. TAYLOR, *Divinity of the Roman Emperor*, 65–73, 90–99. p. 71 fig. 9 wrong
- A. Alföldi, "Der neue Weltherrscher der vierten Ekloge Vergils," *Hermes* 65 (1930), 369–384.

LRT-72552-ar

Issues of Roman Mint, 49–40 Unquestioned dates are starred; Pink's dates (pp. 42–44) are given in parenthesis where they differ from mine.				
49 B.C. No issues of <i>triumviri monetales</i> Special issues	·			
*NERI	QVRB		D	
CAESAR	-	ΑU	D Qu	
(48) C. VIBIVS C F C N PANSA			D S	
(48) ALBINVS BRVTI F			D	
(48) Also a joint issue by these tw	О		D	
48 B.C.				
(47) L PAPIVS CELSUS	IIIVIR on D		D Qu S	
(47) L HOSTILIVS SASERNA			D	
(47) L PLAUTIVS PLANCVS			D	
47 B.C.			- a	
(48) L LICINIVS NERVA	IIIVIR on D		D Qu S	
Special Issue	DIOM IMPD	A T T		
*CAESAR	DICT- ITER	AU		
46 B.C.		_		
M' CORDIVS RUFVS	IIIVIR on	ı D	D Qu S	
C ANTIVS C F RESTIO			D Qu S	
PALIKANVS Special iggues			D Qu S	
Special issues Cordius, as above	SC		D	
*A HIRTIVS		ΑU	D	
AIIIKIIVS	TK F	10		
45 B.C.	_	_		
T CARISIVS	IIIVIR on D and	lS	D Qu S	
C.CONSIDIUS PAETVS			D Qu S	
L VALERIUS ACISCULUS			D Qu S	
Special Issues	00		D	
Carisius, as above	SC DDAEE LIDD	ATT	D	
L PLANC	PRAEF URB	AU		
44 B.C. Only one regular issue. Pink tentatively provides two, but his				

44 B.C. Only one regular issue. Pink tentatively provides two, but his Second issue should come later.

Folder 7, p. 2 (continued)

*M METTIVS D QU S
*P SEPVLLIVS MACER D Qu S
*C COSSUTIVS MARDIANVS AAAFF D

Special issue

*CAESAR DIC QVAR-COS- AU

QVINC

II

From 43 on I list below only issues which have an obvious connection with Caesar and his successors. I accept Pink's dates for the moneyers of 42 but do not understand his criteria for the other dates.

IIIIVIR PRI FL or IIIIVIR	D
OI IIII VIIX	

42 B.C. (copy of Pink's list)

C VIBIVS VARVS	AU D
L (LIVINEIUS) REGULVS	AU D
P.CLODIVS	AU D
L MUSSIDIUS LONGVS	AU D

Special issue. Each has three types. Revival of the "arg.pub" issues.

L REGVLVS (as above	IIIIVIR APF	Au
Clodius as above	IIIIVIR APF	Au
L MVSSIDIUS T F LONGVS	IIIIVIR APF	Au
(as above)		

Further REGIVS F PRAEF VR D

Significant Dates for Caesar's Career and his Deification

Birth 102, 101, or 100 B.C.

Elected pontifex maximus 63

Consul. 59

Proconsul of Cisalpine and Transalpine Gaul. And Illyricum 58–50

Proconsulship terminated by senate; Caesar crosses Rubicon January. 49

Dictator for eleven days December49

Elected augur probably. December 49

Consul II 48

Consul II, dictator II October to December. 48

Dictator II 47

Consul III dictator II January to April 46

Consul III dictator II January to April 46

Consul III, dictator III April to December 46

Consul IV, dictator III January to April 45

Consul IV, dictator IV April to December 45

Consul V, dictator IV probably to February 14, 45

Consul V, dictator perpetuus February 44

Parens patriae February 44

Senate votes to deify him as Divus Julius and to grant him a priest and a temple (with Clementia) February 44.

Folder 7, p. 4 (continued)

Anthony, Octavian and Lepidus established as triumviri rei publicae

Constituendae November 27, 43

Caesar formally deified as Divus Julius. January 42

Assassinated March 15, 44

Cesano

Pl. I

See above in this document for *RRC* numbers.

Den. 1. o) CAESAR. Elephant & carnyx

r) Symbols of pontificate. *simpulum*, *aspergillum*, axe with wolf's Head, *apex* (?) Grueber II 390, attrib. to Gaul

c. 50-49 Pl.103.5

Den. 2. o) Head of Venus to r.

r) Aeneas, palladium, Anchises in his arms CAESAR

Grueber II,469 attrib. to East

PL. 110.20

Den. 3. o) Venus to left

r) Gallic arms CAESAR

including *carnyx*

2 figures (prisoners or Gallia & Hispania [????]

Grueber II, 368; PL 101.9

dates ca. 45 attrib. to Spain

Den. 4. o) Venus to r.

Cupid behind her neck

r) Positions of 2 figures transposed – shields both oval

[Gr.II, 369 Pl. 101.10]

dates to 45

Au. 5. o) Female head, r. oak crown LII

Den. r) Gallic arms including *carnyx*. CAESAR

Quin. Gr. 505

Gr. 505–506 Pl. .99.13 Date 49

Note female head is much like Pietas on coin of Albinus Brutus, Pl. 49.18

Den. 6. o) Female head, r. COS .TER .DICT .ITER

r) AVGVR.PONT.MAX simpulum, aspergillum,

pitcher, lituus

Gr II, 576 Attrib. Africa Gr. Says Ceres Quin. 7. o) Veiled female head LII [??] oak crown

r) Gallic trophy CAESAR

Gr. 507 Pl. 49.16

Den. 8. o) Female head to r., fillet

r) Trophy, with arms CAESAR IMP

Gr.II. 363 dated 49 Pl. 100.19 attrib. to Spain [Cesano] dated 45

Fig. 1&2 p.106

Den. o) Female head to r., crowned with oak LII

r) Trophy. Figure of man below. CAESAR

Gr. Pl. 49.16

Fig. 3 p. 111

Aes o) Female head to r. CAES.DIC.TER

r) Minerva with shield, gorgoneum, serpent C.CLOVI. PRAEF

GR I. 539 Pl.33. 17 & 18 Dates 45

See Grant

Cesano

Pl.II [III crossed out]

Den. 1. o) Female head to r., oak crown LII; variant of I. 5 [above] r) Gallic arms, CAESAR Gr 505 o) Female head to r. (Venus) CAESAR IMP. COS.ITER Den. 2, r) A. ALLIENUS PROCOS Trinacrus standing to left Gr II 559 [nos.] 5&6 o) C. CAESAR COS TER Veiled figure to r. Aur. 3. r) A. HIRTIUS PR lituus, urceus, axe Gr 525ff. Bahrfeldt pp.35–37] [citation incoorect_ no 19] Same as 3 with female head Au.r 4. o) C. CAESAR DIC TER winged figure to r. Au.r 5. r) L. PLANC PRAEF VRB or PR URB [jug with handle] Gr 537{-538] Bahrfeldt, 37[ff.no.20] 6. o) Female head to r. CAES DIC QVART r) Wreath COS QVIN Gr 54 Pl. 53.19 44 B.C. 7. Same as 5 Quin Half Au Aur 8. o) CAESAR DICT axe and capis r) Pitcher & lituus ITER Gr. II, 470 Pl. 111.1 47 B.C. Attributed to East because

of crude fabric

Folder 7, p. 6 (continued)

Figure 4 p. 135

Bronze

o) Venus diademed to r. r) Q. OPPIVS.PR Victory in flight to r. Cesano would not attribute to Caesar though it is orichalcum.

Dates ca. 41

Cesano

Pl.3 [4 crossed out]

- 1. Head of Caesar. laurel crown, r. Behind *capis* and *lituus*. CAESAR IMP r. Venus to l. scepter and Victory, shield on globe. M.METTIVS
- 2. Head of Caesar, r. laurel w[reath]. *Lituus* behind. CAESAR DICT.QVART r. Juno Sospita in *biga* to r. M.METTIVS
- 3. Head of Caesar, r., laurel CAESAR.IMPER r. Venus to l., scepter & Victory M.METTIVS Gr.543; PL 54.5
- Head of Caesar, r. laurel. CAESAR DICT.PERPETVO

 L.BUCA. Winged caduceus & crossed fasces. 2 joined hands, globe and axe.
 Gr. 546. Pl. 54.11
- 5. CAESAR IM PM. Laurd head to r., behind crescent r. L. AEMILIVS BUVCA Venus to l., resting on scepter Gr. 545
- CAESAR DICT PERPETVO, laureled head to r.
 r. L.BVCA Venus Nicephora, seated to r. with transverse scepter L>AEMILIVS BUCA
 Gr. 548 Pl. 54.10
- CAESAR DICT PERPETVO, laureled head of Caesar to r. r. Venus, standing to left on scepter L.AEMILIVS BUCA Gr. 548 Pl. 54.8ff.
- 8. L.BVCA effigy of Venus, diademed to r.
 - r. Venus with Endymion [???]

Gr. 1, 546 Pl. 54.12

Gr. Says Sulla's dream. Selene comes Crescent on her head. Takes other figure as Victoria What is story of Sulla's dream? Plut. Sulla?

Cesano

Pl. 4

- 1. o) CAESAR.IMP Laureled head of Caesar to r. Behind star
 - r) P. SEPULLIVS MACER Venus on standing to left; sceptre on a star Gr. 547–8 Pl.54. 15–17
- 2. o) CAESAR.DICT.PERPETVO, laureled portrait to right
 - r) P.SEPULLIVS MACER Venus Nicephora, shield on star

Gr. 549

- 3. o) CAESAR. DICT PERPETV Laureled portrait to r.
 - r) P SEPVLLIVS MACER Venus Nicephora, sceptre on star

Gr. 548

- 4. o) CLEMENTIA CAESARIS Temple, 4 columns, closed doors
 - r) P SEPVLLIVS MACER Desultor galloping, 2 horses, laurel crown Gr. 549 Pl. 54.22
- 5. o) CAESAR. DICT PERPETVO or. Caesar's portrait r., laureled
 - r) C. MARIDIANVS Venus Nicephora standing left, shield in left, resting on globe.

Gr. 551 Pl. 55.3

- 6. 2 different coins [second with no number at bottom of the page]
 - o) CAESAR PARENS. PATRIAE Veiled laureate head between $\it lituus$ and $\it apex$
 - r) C MARIDIANUS xi galloping, 2 horses, laurel crown Gr. I, 549 from Babelon [Blacas]

[33333]

- o) Head of Mark Anthony, bearded, veiled [between] *lituus* and urceus
- r) as on no. 6 [above]

Gr. 550 Pl. 54.23

PATRIAE Veiled laureate head between *lituus* and *apex* r) C MARIDIANUS xi galloping, 2 horses, laurel crown Gr. 549

Folder 7 P. 8 (continued)

7. o) CAESAR PARENS PATRIAE Laureate veiled head of Caesar bet[ween] *lituus* and *apex*r) C. COSSUVTIVS MARIDIANVS written in cross in spaces [of] AAAF

Gr. 552 Pl. 55.4

8. Head of goddess [Venus crossed out] to r. IIIVIR.PRI.FL (*primus flammavit*)

Grueber says Juno Moneta?)

r) Victory in biga to r. horses galloping L. FLAMINIVS CHILO Gr. 565

Small change linked by Cesano, not referenced p. 143

quin. arg.

- o) Juno Sospita; serpent behind
- r) M.METTIVS, Biga, Victoria to r.

Gr. [544] [*RRC* 480/23]

Sest.arg.

- o) Diademed head of Venus to r.
- r) M.METTI Girl in front of serpent

Gr. 544 [*RRC* 480/28]

Quin. arg.

- o) PAXS Woman to r.
- r) L AEMILIVS BVCA IIIIVIR. 2 hands joined

Gr. 547 Pl. 54.14 [*RRC* 480/24]

Sest.

- o) Venus head, diademed, crescent moon above (Diana) to r.
- r) L. AEMILIVS BVCA Star, six rays Gr. 547 Pl. 54.14

[RRC 480/26]

Den.

- o) Veiled and bearded head of Anthony bet. lituus and urceus
- r) P. SEPVLLIVS MACER Desultor Gr 550, Pl. 54.23

Sest.

- o) Bust of Mercury, with caduceus
- r) P.SEPVLLIVS. Winged caduceus

Portrait of Caesar with no inscription L FLAMINVS CHILO

This is a note on Bryn Mawr College letterhead, not one of the two more usual types of "note cards" that are on either 2x4 or 4x6 inch paper.

o) Head of Caesar, r. laureate; finer more idealized types

r) Pax, l wearing long drapery, *caduceus* in r. hand on scepter. L. FLAMINIVS CHILO

Gr. 566 55 12

Gr. 566 55.13 [*RRC* 485/1]

Seems to be later.

His other types, female head & Victory in biga & Victory in biga Has IIIIVIR PRI.FL

Seems to be later like portraits of Caesar in the forties

This the back of the sheet of paper with the notes on p. 9. It is an assignment or completed assignment of a ?student Maria Gamble and is thus not reproduced here.

Alföldi's [1930] Plate

1. Denarius of L. Manlius Torquatus o[bv of]7 (below) Head of Sibyl, [wreathed]

 $[RRC \, 411/1]$

2. T. Carisius 45 Head of Sibyl and r. Sphinx

 $[RRC \, 464/1]$

T. Carisius

3. T. Carisius 45 Globe with cornucopiae in bet(ween) sceptre and rudder

 $[RRC \, 464/3]$

4. 44 Denarii of Mussidius Longus, globe, cornucopiae Caduceus = felicitas temp(oris) apex = kingship

[*RRC* 464/39}

- 5. [???]of 44 Caesar's portrait
- 6. Aemilius Buca in 44. [????????} Clasped hands=clementia Caesaris lso caduceus [not here]

[RRC 480/24]

- 7. [See 1 above. Reverse is amphora on tripod between 2 amphorae; star to left}
- 8. Egyptian coin. Arsinoe cornucopia with diadem
- 9. Sullan coin cornucopiae with diadem
- 10. Considius Paetus 46 [r} Cornucopiae on globe [o] winged child = *nascens puer* [*RRC* 465/8]
- 11. C. Vibius Varus

[RRC 494/passim]

- 12. Domitian [showing] the felicium temporum reparatio
- 13. Cornucopiae with diadem 43-2
- 14. *Temporum felicitas* Double cornucopiae & caduceus Commodus 190 Mattingly&Sydenham 3, 389
- 15. Symbols of luck 43–40 (2) denarius of Anthony double cornucopiae
- 16. L. Antonius Pietas type

Folder 7, p. 12 (continued)

- 17. 43-42 Aeneas & Anchises
- 18. So-called Pantheos type Septmius Severus SAECULUM FRUGIFERUM
- 19. Tetradrachm of Pharnaces
- 20. Severus SAECUL(um) FRUGIFER(UM)

Mattingly and Sydenham 4, pp. 180,182

- 21. L. Antonius Pietas type
- 22. C. Clodius 43 Apollo & Diana
- 23. [Blank]
- 24. Septimius Severus crescent & 7 stars [??????] FELICITAS TEMPORUM can't find
- 25. C. Clodius 43 Sol, crescent & 5 stars magnus annus

In pencil, two notes at bottom of the page]

Felicitas, caduceus, cornucopiae

[Gr.] Spain 70 4137

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alföldi, A. 1929. "The Numbering of the Victories of the Emperor Gallienus and of the Loyalty of his Legions," *NC* s. 5, 9: 218–279.
- 1930. "Der neue Weltherrschen der vierten Ekloge Vergils," *Hermes* 65: 369—384.
- 1935. "Insignien und Tracht der römischen Kaiser," RM 50: 1−171.
- Babelon, E. 1985–1986. *Description historique et chronologique des monnaies de la république romaine 1–2*. Paris and London.
- Bahrfeldt, M. 1923. *Römische Münzprägung während der Republik und under Augustus*. Halle.
- Boyce, A. 1953. Review of E.A. Sydenham, *The Coinage of the Roman Republic* in *JRS* 43: 193–194.
- Burnett, A, Amandry, M. Ripolles., P.P. eds. 1992—current. *Roman Provincial Coinage* 1.1–1.2. London and Paris.
- Carson, R.A.G. 1958. Review of Pink, K. *The* Triumviri Monetales *and the Structure of the Roman Republican Coinage* in *AJA* 62: 353–353.
- Cesano, S.L. 1947–1949. "Le monete di Cesare," RPAA 23–24: 103–151.
- Crawford, M. 1974. Roman Republican Coinage I-II. Cambridge.
- Curtius, L. 1932. "Ikonographische Beiträge zum Porträt der römischen Republik und der Julisch-claudischen Familie 1. L. Cornelius Sulla, 2. C. Julius Caesar, 3. M. Armilius Lepidus", *RM* 47: 202–268.
- Ehrenberg, V. 1974. Man, State and Deity. Essays in Ancient History. Oxford.
- Fink, R.O. 1949. Review of M. Grant, *From Imperium to Auctoritas* in *CP* 44: 254–258.
- Ganter, F.L. 1895. "Die Diktaturen Caesar's und die Münzen der fünf ersten *IIII viri a.a.f.f.*," *ZN* 19: 183–203.
- Gelzer, M. 1968. *Caesar: Politician and Statesman*. Oxford (Engl. transl. of *Caesar. Der Politiker und Staatsmann*, Wiesbaden 1960²).
- Grant, M. 1946. From Imperium to Auctoritas. Cambridge.
- 1950. *Roman Anniversary Issues*. Cambridge.
- 1953. Review of E.A. Sydenham, *The Coinage of the Roma Republic* in *The English Historical Review* 68: 422–424.
- Grueber, H.A. 1910. Coins of the Roman Republic in the British Museum I–III.
- Hallett, J. 2021. "Lily Ross Taylor Beyond Bryn Mawr College," HCS 3: 1-53.
- Linderski, J. 1999. "Lily Ross Taylor," *American National Biography* 21: 581–583.
- Mattingly, H. 1946. Review of M. Grant, *From Imperium to Auctoritas* in *NC* 6: 129–133.
- Meyer, E. 1919. Caesars Monarchie und das Principat des Pompeius. Stuttgart.
- Potter, D. 2001. "Ancient History and the American Philological Association 1900–2000," *TAPA* 131: 315–327.
- Sutherland, C.H.V. 1947. Review of M. Grant, *From Imperium to Auctoritas, JRS*: 37 209–212.

- Syme, R. 1958. "Imperator Caesar: A Study in Nomenclature," *Historia* 7: 172–188.
- Taylor, L. R. 1918. "Livy and the Name Augustus," CR 32 (1918) 158–161.
- 1931. *The Divinity of the Roman Emperor*. Middletown, CT.
- 1944. "Symbols of the Augurate on Coins of the Caecilii Metelli," *AJA* 48: 352–356.
- 1949. *Party Politics in the Age of Caesar*. Berkeley.
- 1956. *Roman Voting Assemblies*. Ann Arbor.
- Woytek, B. 2003. Arma et Nummi. Forschungen zur romischen Finanzgeschichte und Münzpragung der Jahre 49 bis 42 v.Chr. Vienna.